

Optimal three-ball inequalities and quantitative uniqueness for the Stokes system

Ching-Lung Lin* Jenn-Nan Wang†

Abstract

In this paper we study the local behavior of a solution to the Stokes system with singular coefficients. One of the main results is the bound on the vanishing order of a nontrivial solution to the Stokes system, which is a quantitative version of the strong unique continuation property. Our proof relies on some delicate Carleman-type estimates. We first use these estimates to derive crucial *optimal* three-ball inequalities. Taking advantage of the optimality, we then derive an upper bound on the vanishing order of any nontrivial solution to the Stokes system from those three-ball inequalities.

1 Introduction

Assume that Ω is a connected open set containing 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. In this paper we are interested in the local behavior of (u, p) satisfying the following Stokes system:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + A(x) \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where A is measurable satisfying

$$|A(x)| \leq C_0 |\log |x||^{-3} |x|^{-1} \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (1.2)$$

*Department of Mathematics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan. Email: cllin2@mail.ncku.edu.tw

†Department of Mathematics, Taida Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NCTS (Taipei), National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan. Email: jn-wang@math.ntu.edu.tw

and $A \cdot \nabla u = (A \cdot \nabla u_1, \dots, A \cdot \nabla u_n)$.

For the Stokes system (1.1) with essentially bounded coefficients $A(x)$, the weak unique continuation property has been shown by Fabre and Lebeau [5]. On the other hand, when $A(x)$ satisfies $|A(x)| = O(|x|^{-1+\epsilon})$ with $\epsilon > 0$, the strong unique continuation property was proved by Regbaoui [18]. The results in [5] and [18] concern only the qualitative unique continuation theorem. In this work we aim to derive a quantitative estimate of the strong unique continuation for (1.1).

For the second order elliptic operator, using Carleman or frequency functions methods, quantitative estimates of the strong unique continuation (in the form of doubling inequality) under different assumptions on coefficients were derived in [3], [6], [7], [13], [15]. For the power of Laplacian, a quantitative estimate was obtained in [16]. We refer to [15] and references therein for the development of this investigation.

Since there is no equation for p in the Stokes system (1.1), to prove the unique continuation theorem for (1.1), one usually apply the divergence on the first equation and obtain

$$\Delta p + \operatorname{div}(A(x) \cdot \nabla u) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

However, the first equation of (1.1) and (1.3) do not give us a decoupled system. The frequency functions method does not seem to work in this case. So we prove our results along the line of Carleman's method. On the other hand, since the coefficient $A(x)$ is more singular than the one considered in [18]. Carleman-type estimates derived in [18] can not be applied to the case here. Hence we need to derive new Carleman-type estimates for our purpose. The key is to use weights which are slightly less singular than the negative powers of $|x|$ (see estimates (2.4) and (2.15)). The estimate (2.15) is to handle (1.3) and the idea is due to Fabre and Lebeau [5]. It is tempting to derive doubling inequalities for (1.1) by (2.4) and (2.15) using the ideas in [15] or [16]. But this seems hard to reach with estimates (2.4), (2.15). One of the difficulties is the appearance of the parameter β on the right hand side of (2.15).

Even though we are not able to prove doubling inequalities for (1.1), we can derive certain three-ball inequalities which are *optimal* in the sense explained in [4] using (2.4) and (2.15). We would like to remark that usually the three-ball inequality can be regarded as the quantitative estimate of the weak unique continuation property. However, when the three-ball inequality

is optimal, one is able to deduce the strong unique continuation from it. It seems reasonable to expect that one could derive a bound on the vanishing order of a nontrivial solution from the optimal three-ball inequality. A recent result by Bourgain and Kenig [2] (more precisely, Kenig's lecture notes for 2006 CNA Summer School [12]) indicates that this is indeed possible, at least for the Schrödinger operator. In this paper, we show that by the optimal three-ball inequality, we can obtain a bound on the vanishing order of a nontrivial solution to (1.1) containing "nearly" optimal singular coefficients. Finally, we would like to mention that quantitative estimates of the strong unique continuation are useful in studying the nodal sets of solutions for elliptic or parabolic equations [8], [14], or the inverse problem [1].

We now state main results of this paper. Their proofs will be given in the subsequent sections. Assume that there exists $0 < R_0 \leq 1$ such that $B_{R_0} \subset \Omega$. Hereafter B_r denotes an open ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin. Also, we let $U(x) = [|x|^4 |\nabla p|^2 + |x|^2 |p|^2 + |u|^2]^{1/2}$.

Theorem 1.1 *There exists a positive number $\tilde{R} < 1$, depending only on n , such that if $0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3 \leq R_0$ and $R_1/R_3 < R_2/R_3 < \tilde{R}$, then*

$$\int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{|x| < R_1} |U|^2 dx \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|x| < R_3} |U|^2 dx \right)^{1-\tau} \quad (1.4)$$

for $(u, p) \in (H^1(B_{R_0}))^{n+1}$ satisfying (1.1) in B_{R_0} , where the constant C depends on R_2/R_3 , n , and $0 < \tau < 1$ depends on R_1/R_3 , R_2/R_3 , n . Moreover, for fixed R_2 and R_3 , the exponent τ behaves like $1/(-\log R_1)$ when R_1 is sufficiently small.

Remark 1.2 *It is important to emphasize that C is independent of R_1 and τ has the asymptotic $(-\log R_1)^{-1}$. These facts are crucial in deriving an vanishing order of a nontrivial (u, p) to (1.1). Due to the behavior of τ , the three-ball inequality is called optimal [4].*

Remark 1.3 *We want to say a few words about the appearance of ∇p term in U . In the derivation of the three-ball inequality (1.4), it is crucial to control ∇u in a smaller region by quantities of u and p in a bigger region (see (3.1)). Roughly speaking, this is an interior estimate for ∇u . In view of the first equation of (1.1), ∇p needs be included in this estimate.*

Theorem 1.4 *Let $(u, p) \in (H^1(B_{R_0}))^{n+1}$ be a nontrivial solution to (1.1), i.e., $(u, p) \neq (0, 0)$, then there exist positive constants K and m , depending on n and (u, p) , such that*

$$\int_{|x|<R} |U|^2 dx \geq KR^m \quad (1.5)$$

for all R sufficiently small.

Remark 1.5 *Based on Theorem 1.1, the constants K and m in (1.5) are given by*

$$K = \int_{|x|<R_3} |U|^2 dx$$

and

$$m = \tilde{C} \log \left(\frac{\int_{|x|<R_3} |U|^2 dx}{\int_{|x|<R_2} |U|^2 dx} \right),$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is a positive constant depending on n and R_2/R_3 .

Corollary 1.6 *Let $(u, p) \in (H_{loc}^1(\Omega))^n \times L_{loc}^2(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1.1) with A satisfying (1.2). Assume that (u, p) vanishes of infinite order at the origin, i.e., for all $N > 0$,*

$$\int_{|x|<R} (|u|^2 + |p|^2) dx = O(R^N) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Then $(u, p) \equiv 0$ in Ω .

This corollary is a small improvement of the strong unique continuation property for the Stokes system proved in [18] where $|A(x)| = O(|x|^{-1+\epsilon})$ with $\epsilon > 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive suitable Carleman-type estimates. A technical interior estimate is proved in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1, 1.4, and Corollary 1.6.

2 Carleman estimates

Similar to the arguments used in [9], we introduce polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by setting $x = r\omega$, with $r = |x|$, $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Furthermore, using new coordinate $t = \log r$, we can see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = e^{-t}(\omega_j \partial_t + \Omega_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where Ω_j is a vector field in S^{n-1} . We could check that the vector fields Ω_j satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \Omega_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j \omega_j = n - 1.$$

Since $r \rightarrow 0$ iff $t \rightarrow -\infty$, we are mainly interested in values of t near $-\infty$.

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_\ell} = e^{-2t} (\omega_j \partial_t - \omega_j + \Omega_j) (\omega_\ell \partial_t + \Omega_\ell), \quad 1 \leq j, \ell \leq n.$$

and, therefore, the Laplacian becomes

$$e^{2t} \Delta = \partial_t^2 + (n - 2) \partial_t + \Delta_\omega, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Delta_\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j^2$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S^{n-1} . We recall that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\omega$ are $k(k + n - 2)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the corresponding eigenspaces are E_k , where E_k is the space of spherical harmonics of degree k . It follows that

$$\iint |\Delta_\omega v|^2 dt d\omega = \sum_{k \geq 0} k^2 (k + n - 2)^2 \iint |v_k|^2 dt d\omega \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\sum_j \iint |\Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega = \sum_{k \geq 0} k(k + n - 2) \iint |v_k|^2 dt d\omega, \quad (2.3)$$

where v_k is the projection of v onto E_k . Let

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{(n - 2)^2}{4} - \Delta_\omega},$$

then Λ is an elliptic first-order positive pseudodifferential operator in $L^2(S^{n-1})$. The eigenvalues of Λ are $k + \frac{n-2}{2}$ and the corresponding eigenspaces are E_k . Denote

$$L^\pm = \partial_t + \frac{n - 2}{2} \pm \Lambda.$$

Then it follows from (2.1) that

$$e^{2t} \Delta = L^+ L^- = L^- L^+.$$

Motivated by the ideas in [17], we will derive Carleman-type estimates with weights $\varphi_\beta = \varphi_\beta(x) = \exp(-\beta\tilde{\psi}(x))$, where $\beta > 0$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \log|x| + \log((\log|x|)^2)$. Note that φ_β is less singular than $|x|^{-\beta}$. For simplicity, we denote $\psi(t) = t + \log t^2$, i.e., $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(\log|x|)$. From now on, the notation $X \lesssim Y$ or $X \gtrsim Y$ means that $X \leq CY$ or $X \geq CY$ with some constant C depending only on n .

Lemma 2.1 *There exist a sufficiently small $r_0 > 0$ depending on n and a sufficiently large $\beta_0 > 1$ depending on n such that for all $u \in U_{r_0}$ and $\beta \geq \beta_0$, we have that*

$$\beta \int \varphi_\beta^2 (\log|x|)^{-2} |x|^{-n} (|x|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx \lesssim \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |x|^4 |\Delta u|^2 dx, \quad (2.4)$$

where $U_{r_0} = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \text{supp}(u) \subset B_{r_0}\}$.

Proof. By the polar coordinate system described above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{4-n} |\Delta u|^2 dx \\ &= \iint e^{-2\beta\psi(t)} e^{4t} |\Delta u|^2 dt d\omega \\ &= \iint |e^{-\beta\psi(t)} e^{2t} \Delta u|^2 dt d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If we set $u = e^{\beta\psi(t)} v$ and use (2.1), then

$$e^{-\beta\psi(t)} e^{2t} \Delta u = \partial_t^2 v + b \partial_t v + a v + \Delta_\omega v =: P_\beta v, \quad (2.6)$$

where $a = (1 + 2t^{-1})^2 \beta^2 + (n-2)\beta + 2(n-2)t^{-1}\beta - 2t^{-2}\beta$ and $b = n-2 + 2\beta + 4t^{-1}\beta$. By (2.5) and (2.6), (2.4) holds if for t near $-\infty$ we have

$$\sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq 1} \beta^{3-2|\alpha|} \iint |t|^{-2} |\partial_t^j \Omega^\alpha v|^2 dt d\omega \leq \tilde{C}_1 \iint |P_\beta v|^2 dt d\omega, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\tilde{C}_1$ is a positive constant depending on n .

From (2.6), using the integration by parts, for $t < t_0$ and $\beta > \beta_0$, where $t_0 < -1$ and $\beta_0 > 0$ depend on n , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint |P_\beta v|^2 dt d\omega \\
= & \iint |\partial_t^2 v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint |b \partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint |av|^2 dt d\omega + \iint |\Delta_\omega v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& - \iint \partial_t b |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega - 2 \iint a |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint \partial_t^2 a |v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& - \iint \partial_t(ab) |v|^2 dt d\omega + 2 \sum_j \iint |\partial_t \Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& + \sum_j \iint \partial_t b |\Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega - 2 \sum_j \iint a |\Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega \\
\geq & \iint |\Delta_\omega v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint \{b^2 - \partial_t b - 2a\} |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& + \sum_j \iint \{\partial_t b - 2a\} |\Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint \{a^2 + \partial_t^2 a - \partial_t(ab)\} |v|^2 dt d\omega \\
\geq & \iint |\Delta_\omega v|^2 dt d\omega + \sum_j \iint \{-4t^{-2}\beta - 2a\} |\Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& + \iint \{a^2 + 11t^{-2}\beta^3\} |v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint \beta^2 |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega. \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.8), using (2.2), (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint |\Delta_\omega v|^2 dt d\omega - 2 \sum_j \iint a |\Omega_j v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint a^2 |v|^2 dt d\omega \\
= & \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint [a - k(k+n-2)]^2 |v_k|^2 dt d\omega. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.9) into (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint |P_\beta v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& \geq \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint \{11t^{-2}\beta^3 - 4t^{-2}\beta k(k+n-2) + [a - k(k+n-2)]^2\} |v_k|^2 dt d\omega \\
& \quad + \iint \beta^2 |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega \\
& = \left(\sum_{k, k(k+n-2) \geq 2\beta^2} + \sum_{k, k(k+n-2) < 2\beta^2} \right) \iint \{11t^{-2}\beta^3 - 4t^{-2}\beta k(k+n-2) \\
& \quad + [a - k(k+n-2)]^2\} |v_k|^2 dt d\omega + \iint \beta^2 |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega. \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

For k such that $k(k+n-2) < 2\beta^2$, we have

$$11t^{-2}\beta^3 - 4t^{-2}\beta k(k+n-2) \geq t^{-2}\beta^3 + t^{-2}\beta k(k+n-2). \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand, if $2\beta^2 < k(k+n-2)$, then, by taking t even smaller, if necessary, we get that

$$-4t^{-2}\beta k(k+n-2) + [a - k(k+n-2)]^2 \gtrsim t^{-2}\beta k(k+n-2). \tag{2.12}$$

Finally, using formula (2.3) and estimates (2.11), (2.12) in (2.10), we immediately obtain (2.7) and the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

To handle the auxiliary equation corresponding to the pressure p , we need another Carleman estimate. The derivation here follows the line in [18].

Lemma 2.2 *There exists a sufficiently small number $t_0 < 0$ depending on n such that for all $u \in V_{t_0}$, $\beta > 1$, we have that*

$$\sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq 1} \beta^{1-2(j+|\alpha|)} \iint t^{-2} \varphi_\beta^2 |\partial_t^j \Omega^\alpha u|^2 dt d\omega \lesssim \iint \varphi_\beta^2 |L^- u|^2 dt d\omega, \tag{2.13}$$

where $V_{t_0} = \{u(t, \omega) \in C_0^\infty((-\infty, t_0) \times S^{n-1})\}$.

Proof. If we set $u = e^{\beta\psi(t)}v$, then simple integration by parts implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint \varphi_\beta^2 |L^- u|^2 dt d\omega \\
&= \iint |\partial_t v - \Lambda v + \beta v + 2\beta t^{-1}v + (n-2)v/2|^2 dt d\omega \\
&= \iint |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega + \iint |-\Lambda v + \beta v + 2\beta t^{-1}v + (n-2)v/2|^2 dt d\omega \\
&\quad + \beta \iint t^{-2} |v|^2 dt d\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint |-\Lambda v + \beta v + 2\beta t^{-1}v + (n-2)v/2|^2 dt d\omega \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint |-kv_k + \beta v_k + 2\beta t^{-1}v_k|^2 dt d\omega \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint (-k + \beta + 2\beta t^{-1})^2 |v_k|^2 dt d\omega,
\end{aligned}$$

where, as before, v_k is the projection of v on E_k . Note that

$$(-k + \beta + 2\beta t^{-1})^2 + \beta t^{-2} \geq \frac{1}{8\beta} (2\beta t^{-1})^2 + \frac{1}{16\beta} (\beta - k)^2.$$

Considering $\beta > (1/2)k$ and $\beta \leq (1/2)k$, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint \varphi_\beta^2 |L^- u|^2 dt d\omega \\
&= \iint |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega + \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint [(-k + \beta + 2\beta t^{-1})^2 + \beta t^{-2}] |v_k|^2 dt d\omega \\
&\gtrsim \iint |\partial_t v|^2 dt d\omega + \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint (\beta^{-1} t^{-2} k(k + n - 2) + \beta t^{-2}) |v_k|^2 dt d\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

The estimate (2.13) then follows from (2.3). $\square$

Next we need a technical lemma. We then use this lemma to derive another Carleman estimate.

Lemma 2.3 *There exists a sufficiently small number $t_1 < -2$ depending on n such that for all $u \in V_{t_1}$, $g = (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (V_{t_1})^{n+1}$ and $\beta > 0$, we have that*

$$\iint \varphi_\beta^2 |u|^2 dt d\omega \lesssim \iint \varphi_\beta^2 (|L^+ u + \partial_t g_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j g_j|^2 + \|g\|^2) dt d\omega.$$

Proof. This lemma can be proved by exactly the same arguments used in Lemma 2.2 of [18]. So we omit the proof here. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 *There exist a sufficiently small number $r_1 > 0$ depending on n and a sufficiently large number $\beta_1 > 2$ depending on n such that for all $w \in U_{r_1}$ and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in (U_{r_1})^n$, $\beta \geq \beta_1$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \varphi_\beta^2 (\log |x|)^2 (|x|^{4-n} |\nabla w|^2 + |x|^{2-n} |w|^2) dx \\ & \lesssim \beta \int \varphi_\beta^2 (\log |x|)^4 |x|^{2-n} [(|x|^2 \Delta w + |x| \operatorname{div} f)^2 + \|f\|^2] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where U_{r_1} is defined as in Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Replacing β by $\beta + 2$ in (2.15), we see that it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \varphi_\beta^2 (\log |x|)^{-2} (|x|^2 |\nabla w|^2 + |w|^2) |x|^{-n} dx \\ & \lesssim \beta \int \varphi_\beta^2 [(|x|^2 \Delta w + |x| \operatorname{div} f)^2 + \|f\|^2] |x|^{-n} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Working in polar coordinates and using the relation $e^{2t} \Delta = L^+ L^-$, (2.16) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq 1} \iint t^{-2} \varphi_\beta^2 |\partial_t^j \Omega^\alpha u|^2 dt d\omega \\ & \lesssim \beta \iint \varphi_\beta^2 (|L^+ L^- w + \partial_t (\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j f_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j f_j|^2 + \|f\|^2) dt d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to $u = L^- w$ and $g = (\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j f_j, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \iint \varphi_\beta^2 |L^- w|^2 dt d\omega \\ & \lesssim \beta \iint \varphi_\beta^2 (|L^+ L^- w + \partial_t (\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j f_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j f_j|^2 + \|f\|^2) dt d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Now (2.17) is an easy consequence of (2.13) and (2.18). $\square$

3 Interior estimates

To establish the three-ball inequality for (1.1), the following interior estimate is useful.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(u, p) \in (H_{loc}^1(\Omega))^{n+1}$ be a solution of (1.1). Then for any $0 < a_3 < a_1 < a_2 < a_4$ such that $B_{a_4r} \subset \Omega$ and $|a_4r| < 1$, we have*

$$\int_{a_1r < |x| < a_2r} |x|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C \int_{a_3r < |x| < a_4r} (|x|^4 |\nabla p|^2 + |u|^2) dx, \quad (3.1)$$

where the constant C is independent of r and (u, p) .

Proof. Let $X = B_{a_4r} \setminus B_{a_3r}$ and $d(x)$ be the distance from $x \in X$ to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$. By the elliptic regularity, we obtain from (1.1) that $u \in H_{loc}^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$. It is trivial that

$$\|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By changing variables $x \rightarrow B^{-1}x$ in (3.2), we will have

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} B^{2-|\alpha|} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (\|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + B^2 \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To apply (3.3) on u , we need to cut-off u . So let $\xi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $0 \leq \xi(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| < 1/4, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Let us denote $\xi_y(x) = \xi((x-y)/d(y))$. For $y \in X$, we apply (3.3) to $\xi_y(x)u(x)$ and use (1.1) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & B^2 \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/4} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} (|A|^2 + d(y)^{-2}) |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} |\nabla p|^2 dx \\ & \quad + (B^4 + d(y)^{-4}) \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Now taking $B = Md(y)^{-1}$ for some positive constant M and multiplying $d(y)^4$ on both sides of (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 d(y)^2 \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/4} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
& \lesssim \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} (d(y)^4 |A|^2 + d(y)^2) |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} d(y)^4 |\nabla p|^2 dx + (M^4 + 1) \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} |u|^2 dx. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating $d(y)^{-n} dy$ over X on both sides of (3.5) and using Fubini's Theorem, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 \int_X \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/4} d(y)^{2-n} |\nabla u|^2 dy dx \\
& \lesssim \int_X \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} (d(y)^2 + d(y)^4 |A|^2) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d(y)^{-n} dy dx \\
& \quad + \int_X \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} d(y)^{4-n} |\nabla p|^2 dy dx \\
& \quad + M^4 \int_X \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} |u|^2 d(y)^{-n} dy dx. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $|d(x) - d(y)| \leq |x - y|$. If $|x - y| \leq d(x)/3$, then

$$2d(x)/3 \leq d(y) \leq 4d(x)/3. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, if $|x - y| \leq d(y)/2$, then

$$d(x)/2 \leq d(y) \leq 3d(x)/2. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{cases} \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/4} d(y)^{-n} dy \geq (3/4)^n \int_{|x-y| \leq d(x)/6} d(x)^{-n} dy \geq 8^{-n} \int_{|y| \leq 1} dy, \\ \int_{|x-y| \leq d(y)/2} d(y)^{-n} dy \leq 2^n \int_{|x-y| \leq 3d(x)/4} d(x)^{-n} dy \leq (3/2)^n \int_{|y| \leq 1} dy \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Combining (3.6)–(3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 \int_X d(x)^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
& \lesssim \int_X (d(x)^2 + d(x)^4 |A|^2) |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx + \int_X d(x)^4 |\nabla p|^2 dx \\
& \quad + M^4 \int_X |u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In view of (1.2), we can take M large enough to absorb the first term on the right hand side of (3.10). Thus we conclude that

$$\int_X d(x)^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \lesssim \int_X (d(x)^4 |\nabla p|^2 + |u|^2) dx. \tag{3.11}$$

We recall that $X = B_{a_4 r} \setminus B_{a_3 r}$ and note that $d(x) \geq \tilde{C}r$ if $x \in B_{a_2 r} \setminus B_{a_1 r}$, where $\tilde{C}$ is independent of r . Hence, (3.1) is an easy consequence of (3.11). $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4

This section is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4. To begin, we first consider the case where $0 < R_1 < R_2 < R < 1$ and $B_R \subset \Omega$. The small constant R will be determined later. Since $(u, p) \in (H^1(B_{R_0}))^{n+1}$, the elliptic regularity theorem implies $u \in H_{loc}^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \{0\})$. Therefore, to use estimate (2.4), we simply cut-off u . So let $\chi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \leq R_1/e, \\ 1, & R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 3R_2, \end{cases}$$

where $e = \exp(1)$. We remark that we first choose a small R such that $R \leq \min\{r_0, r_1\}/3 = \tilde{R}_0$, where r_0 and r_1 are constants appeared in (2.4) and (2.15). Hence $\tilde{R}_0$ depends on n . It is easy to see that for any multiindex α

$$\begin{cases} |D^\alpha \chi| = O(R_1^{-|\alpha|}) \text{ for all } R_1/e \leq |x| \leq R_1/2 \\ |D^\alpha \chi| = O(R_2^{-|\alpha|}) \text{ for all } eR_2 \leq |x| \leq 3R_2. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Applying (2.4) to χu gives

$$C_1\beta \int (\log |x|)^{-2}\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}(|x|^2|\nabla(\chi u)|^2+|\chi u|^2)dx \leq \int \varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}|x|^4|\Delta(\chi u)|^2dx. \quad (4.2)$$

From now on, $C_1, C_2, \dots$ denote general constants whose dependence will be specified whenever necessary. Next applying (2.15) to $w = \chi p$ and $f = |x|\chi A \cdot \nabla u$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_2 \int \varphi_\beta^2(\log |x|)^2(|x|^{4-n}|\nabla(\chi p)|^2 + |x|^{2-n}|\chi p|^2)dx \\ & \leq \beta \int \varphi_\beta^2(\log |x|)^4|x|^{2-n}[|x|^2\Delta(\chi p) + |x|\operatorname{div}(|x|\chi A \cdot \nabla u)]^2dx \\ & \quad + \beta \int \varphi_\beta^2(\log |x|)^4|x|^{2-n}\| |x|\chi A \cdot \nabla u \|^2dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Multiplying by M_1 on (4.2) and combining (4.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & M_1\beta \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^{-2}\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}(|x|^2|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2)dx \\ & \quad + \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^2\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}(|x|^4|\nabla p|^2 + |x|^2|p|^2)dx \\ & \leq M_1\beta \int \varphi_\beta^2(\log |x|)^{-2}|x|^{-n}(|x|^2|\nabla(\chi u)|^2 + |\chi u|^2)dx \\ & \quad + \int (\log |x|)^2\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}(|x|^4|\nabla(\chi p)|^2 + |x|^2|\chi p|^2)dx \\ & \leq M_1C_3 \int \varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}|x|^4|\Delta(\chi u)|^2dx \\ & \quad + \beta C_3 \int (\log |x|)^4\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}[|x|^3\Delta(\chi p) + |x|^2\operatorname{div}(|x|\chi A \cdot \nabla u)]^2dx \\ & \quad + \beta C_3 \int (\log |x|)^4\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}\| |x|^2\chi A \cdot \nabla u \|^2dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and estimates (4.1), we deduce from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_1 \beta \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^{-2} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} (|x|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx \\
& + \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^2 \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} (|x|^4 |\nabla p|^2 + |x|^2 |p|^2) dx \\
\leq & C_4 M_1 \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^{-2} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |x|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
& + C_4 M_1 \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |x|^4 |\nabla p|^2 dx \\
& + C_4 \beta \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^{-2} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |x|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
& + C_4 M_1 \int_{\{R_1/e \leq |x| \leq R_1/2\} \cup \{eR_2 \leq |x| \leq 3R_2\}} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |\tilde{U}|^2 dx \\
& + C_4 \beta \int_{\{R_1/e \leq |x| \leq R_1/2\} \cup \{eR_2 \leq |x| \leq 3R_2\}} (\log |x|)^4 \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |\tilde{U}|^2 dx, \quad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\tilde{U}(x)|^2 = |x|^4 |\nabla p|^2 + |x|^2 |p|^2 + |x|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2$ and the positive constant C_4 only depends on n .

Now letting $M_1 = 2 + 2C_4$, $\beta \geq 2 + 2C_4$, and R small enough such that $(\log(eR))^2 \geq 2C_4 M_1$, then the first three terms on the right hand side of (4.5) can be absorbed by the left hand side of (4.5). Also, it is easy to check that there exists $\tilde{R}_1 > 0$, depending on n , such that for all $\beta > 0$, both $(\log |x|)^{-2} |x|^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(|x|)$ and $(\log |x|)^4 |x|^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(|x|)$ are decreasing functions in $0 < |x| < \tilde{R}_1$. So we choose a small $R < \tilde{R}_2$, where $\tilde{R}_2 = \min\{\exp(-2\sqrt{2C_4 M_1} - 1), \tilde{R}_1/3, \tilde{R}_0\}$. It is clear that $\tilde{R}_2$ depends on n . With the choices described above, we obtain from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_2^{-n}(\log R_2)^{-2}\varphi_\beta^2(R_2) \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < R_2} |\tilde{U}|^2 dx \\
& \leq \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < eR_2} (\log |x|)^{-2}\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}|\tilde{U}|^2 dx \\
& \leq C_5\beta \int_{\{R_1/e \leq |x| \leq R_1/2\} \cup \{eR_2 \leq |x| \leq 3R_2\}} (\log |x|)^4\varphi_\beta^2|x|^{-n}|\tilde{U}|^2 dx \\
& \leq C_5\beta(\log(R_1/e))^4(R_1/e)^{-n}\varphi_\beta^2(R_1/e) \int_{\{R_1/e \leq |x| \leq R_1/2\}} |\tilde{U}|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_5\beta(\log(eR_2))^4(eR_2)^{-n}\varphi_\beta^2(eR_2) \int_{\{eR_2 \leq |x| \leq 3R_2\}} |\tilde{U}|^2 dx. \quad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), we can control $|\nabla u|$ terms on the right hand side of (4.6). In other words, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_2^{-2\beta-n}(\log R_2)^{-4\beta-2} \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \\
& \leq C_6 2^{2\beta+n}(\log(R_1/e))^4(R_1/e)^{-n}\varphi_\beta^2(R_1/e) \int_{\{R_1/4 \leq |x| \leq R_1\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_6 2^{2\beta+n}(\log(eR_2))^4(eR_2)^{-n}\varphi_\beta^2(eR_2) \int_{\{2R_2 \leq |x| \leq 4R_2\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& = C_6 2^{2\beta+n}(\log(R_1/e))^{-4\beta+4}(R_1/e)^{-2\beta-n} \int_{\{R_1/4 \leq |x| \leq R_1\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_6 2^{2\beta+n}(\log(eR_2))^{-4\beta+4}(eR_2)^{-2\beta-n} \int_{\{2R_2 \leq |x| \leq 4R_2\}} |U|^2 dx. \quad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $|U(x)|^2 = |x|^4|\nabla p|^2 + |x|^2|p|^2 + |u|^2$. Replacing $2\beta + n$ by β , (4.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_2^{-\beta}(\log R_2)^{-2\beta+2n-2} \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \\
& \leq C_7 2^\beta(\log(R_1/e))^{-2\beta+2n+4}(R_1/e)^{-\beta} \int_{\{R_1/4 \leq |x| \leq R_1\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_7 2^\beta(\log(eR_2))^{-2\beta+2n+4}(eR_2)^{-\beta} \int_{\{2R_2 \leq |x| \leq 4R_2\}} |U|^2 dx. \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing $R_2^{-\beta}(\log R_2)^{-2\beta+2n-2}$ on the both sides of (4.8) and providing $\beta \geq n+2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R_1/2 < |x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \\
& \leq C_8 (\log R_2)^6 (2eR_2/R_1)^\beta \int_{\{R_1/4 \leq |x| \leq R_1\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_8 (\log R_2)^6 (2/e)^\beta [(\log R_2 / \log(eR_2))^2]^{\beta-n-2} \int_{\{2R_2 \leq |x| \leq 4R_2\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& \leq C_8 (\log R_2)^6 (2eR_2/R_1)^\beta \int_{\{R_1/4 \leq |x| \leq R_1\}} |U|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_8 (\log R_2)^6 (4/5)^\beta \int_{\{2R_2 \leq |x| \leq 4R_2\}} |U|^2 dx. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

In deriving the second inequality above, we use the fact that

$$\frac{\log R_2}{\log(eR_2)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad R_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and thus

$$\frac{2}{e} \cdot \frac{\log R_2}{\log(eR_2)} < \frac{4}{5}$$

for all $R_2 < \tilde{R}_3$, where $\tilde{R}_3$ is sufficiently small. We now take $\tilde{R} = \min\{\tilde{R}_2, \tilde{R}_3\}$, which depends on n .

Adding $\int_{|x| < R_1/2} |U|^2 dx$ to both sides of (4.9) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx & \leq C_9 (\log R_2)^6 (2eR_2/R_1)^\beta \int_{|x| \leq R_1} |U|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_9 (\log R_2)^6 (4/5)^\beta \int_{|x| \leq 1} |U|^2 dx. \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that (4.10) holds for all $\beta \geq \tilde{\beta}$ with $\tilde{\beta}$ depending only on n . For simplicity, by denoting

$$E(R_1, R_2) = \log(2eR_2/R_1), \quad B = \log(5/4),$$

(4.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x|<R_2} |U|^2 dx \\ & \leq C_9 (\log R_2)^6 \left\{ \exp(E\beta) \int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx + \exp(-B\beta) \int_{|x|<1} |U|^2 dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

To further simplify the terms on the right hand side of (4.11), we consider two cases. If $\int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx \neq 0$ and

$$\exp(E\tilde{\beta}) \int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx < \exp(-B\tilde{\beta}) \int_{|x|<1} |U|^2 dx,$$

then we can pick a $\beta > \tilde{\beta}$ such that

$$\exp(E\beta) \int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx = \exp(-B\beta) \int_{|x|<1} |U|^2 dx.$$

Using such β , we obtain from (4.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x|<R_2} |U|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2C_9 (\log R_2)^6 \exp(E\beta) \int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx \\ & = 2C_9 (\log R_2)^6 \left(\int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{E+B}} \left(\int_{|x|<1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{E}{E+B}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

If $\int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx = 0$, then letting $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.11) we have $\int_{|x|<R_2} |U|^2 dx = 0$ as well. The three-ball inequality obviously holds.

On the other hand, if

$$\exp(-B\tilde{\beta}) \int_{|x|<1} |U|^2 dx \leq \exp(E\tilde{\beta}) \int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \\
& \leq \left(\int_{|x| < 1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{E+B}} \left(\int_{|x| < 1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{E}{E+B}} \\
& \leq \exp(B\tilde{\beta}) \left(\int_{|x| < R_1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{E+B}} \left(\int_{|x| < 1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{E}{E+B}}. \quad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together (4.12), (4.13), and setting $C_{10} = \max\{2C_9(\log R_2)^6, \exp(\tilde{\beta} \log(5/4))\}$, we arrive at

$$\int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \leq C_{10} \left(\int_{|x| < R_1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{E+B}} \left(\int_{|x| < 1} |U|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{E}{E+B}}. \quad (4.14)$$

It is readily seen that $\frac{B}{E+B} \approx (\log(1/R_1))^{-1}$ when R_1 tends to 0.

Now for the general case, we consider $0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3 < 1$ with $R_1/R_3 < R_2/R_3 \leq \tilde{R}$, where $\tilde{R}$ is given as above. By scaling, i.e. defining $\hat{u}(y) := u(R_3 y)$, $\hat{p}(y) := R_3 p(R_3 y)$ and $\hat{A}(y) = A(R_3 y)$, (4.14) becomes

$$\int_{|y| < R_2/R_3} |\hat{U}(y)|^2 dy \leq C_{11} \left(\int_{|y| < R_1/R_3} |\hat{U}(y)|^2 dy \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|y| < 1} |\hat{U}(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1-\tau}, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\tau = B/[E(R_1/R_3, R_2/R_3) + B],$$

$$C_{11} = \max\{2C_9(\log R_2/R_3)^6, \exp(\tilde{\beta} \log(5/4))\},$$

and $\hat{U}(y) = |y|^4 |\nabla \hat{p}(y)|^2 + |y|^2 |\hat{p}(y)|^2 + |\hat{u}(y)|^2$. Note that C_{11} is independent of R_1 . Restoring the variable $x = R_3 y$ in (4.15) gives

$$\int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx \leq C_{11} \left(\int_{|x| < R_1} |U|^2 dx \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|x| < R_3} |U|^2 dx \right)^{1-\tau}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.4. We fix R_2, R_3 in Theorem 1.1 and define

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}(x) := u(x) / \sqrt{\int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx}, \\ \tilde{p}(x) := p(x) / \sqrt{\int_{|x| < R_2} |U|^2 dx}, \\ V(x) = |x|^4 |\nabla \tilde{p}(x)|^2 + |x|^2 |\tilde{p}(x)|^2 + |\tilde{u}(x)|^2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\int_{|x|<R_2} |V|^2 dx = 1$. From the three-ball inequality (1.4), we have that

$$1 \leq C \left(\int_{|x|<R_1} |V|^2 dx \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|x|<R_3} |V|^2 dx \right)^{1-\tau}. \quad (4.16)$$

Raising both sides by $1/\tau$ yields that

$$\int_{|x|<R_3} |V|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{|x|<R_1} |V|^2 dx \right) (C \int_{|x|<R_3} |V|^2 dx)^{1/\tau}. \quad (4.17)$$

In view of the formula for τ , we can deduce from (4.17) that

$$\int_{|x|<R_3} |V|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{|x|<R_1} |V|^2 dx \right) (1/R_1)^{\tilde{C} \log(\int_{|x|<R_3} |V|^2 dx)}, \quad (4.18)$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is a positive constant depending on n and R_2/R_3 . Consequently, (4.18) is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_{|x|<R_3} |U|^2 dx \right) R_1^m \leq \int_{|x|<R_1} |U|^2 dx$$

for all R_1 sufficiently small, where

$$m = \tilde{C} \log \left(\frac{\int_{|x|<R_3} |U|^2 dx}{\int_{|x|<R_2} |U|^2 dx} \right).$$

We now end the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Finally, we come to the proof of Corollary 1.6. In view of Theorem 1.4, it is enough to show that

$$p \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega) \quad (4.19)$$

and for all $N > 0$

$$\int_{|x|<R} |\nabla p|^2 dx = O(R^N) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.20)$$

It is only a matter of checking that the arguments used in [18, page 1898-1899] can be applied to prove (4.19) and (4.20). To avoid unnecessary repetition, we only sketch the main steps here. By virtue of (1.3), $u \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$, and (1.2), we get that $p \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ by elliptic regularity. Using elliptic

regularity and the first equation of (1.1), we have $u \in H_{loc}^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$. By the vanishing assumption (1.6), we can derive that

$$\int_{R < |x| < 2R} |\nabla p|^2 dx = O(R^N) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0$$

for all $N > 0$. It follows that p is the sum of a function in $H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ and a distribution supported at 0. But no distribution supported at 0 is in $L_{loc}^2(\Omega)$. Thus, $p \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ and (4.20) holds.

Acknowledgements

The authors were supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan.

References

- [1] G. Alessandrini, E. Beretta, E. Rosset, and S. Vessella, *Optimal stability for elliptic boundary value problems with unknown boundaries*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci, **29** (2000), 755-786.
- [2] J. Bourgain and C. Kenig, *On localization in the continuous Anderson-Bernoulli model in higher dimension*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005), 1389-426.
- [3] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 161-183.
- [4] L. Escauriaza, F.J. Fernández, and S. Vessella, *Doubling properties of caloric functions*, Appl. Anal., **85** (2006), 205-223.
- [5] C. Fabre and G. Lebeau, *Prolongement unique des solutions de l'équation de Stokes*, Comm. in PDE, **21** (1996), 573-596.
- [6] N. Garofalo and F.H. Lin, *Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, A_p weights and unique continuation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 245-267.

- [7] N. Garofalo and F.H. Lin, *Unique continuation for elliptic operators: a geometric-variational approach*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **40**, 347-366, 1987.
- [8] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Geom., **30** (1989), 505-522.
- [9] L. Hörmander, *Uniqueness theorems for second order elliptic differential equations*, Comm. in P.D.E. **8**, No. 1, (1983), 21-64.
- [10] L. Hörmander, "The analysis of linear partial differential operators", Vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1985.
- [11] D. Jerison and C. Kenig, *Unique continuation and absence of positive eigenvalues for Schrodinger operators. With an appendix by E. M. Stein*, Ann. of Math. (2), **121** (1985), 463-494.
- [12] C. Kenig, *Lecture Notes for 2006 CNA Summer School: Probabilistic and Analytical Perspectives on Contemporary PDEs*, Center for Nonlinear Analysis, Carnegie Mellon University.
- [13] F.H. Lin, *A uniqueness theorem for parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **43** (1990), 127-136.
- [14] F.H. Lin, *Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **44** (1991), 287-308.
- [15] C.L. Lin, G. Nakamura and J.N. Wang *Quantitative uniqueness for second order elliptic operators with strongly singular coefficients*, Preprint.
- [16] C.L. Lin, S. Nagayasu and J.N. Wang *Quantitative uniqueness for the power of Laplacian with singular coefficients*, Preprint.
- [17] R. Regbaoui, *Strong uniqueness for second order differential operators*, J. Diff. Eq. **141** (1997), 201-217.
- [18] R. Regbaoui, *Strong unique continuation for stokes equations*, Comm. in PDE **24** (1999), 1891-1902.